IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

MARVIN L. GOREE,)		
	Plaintiff,)))	Civil No.	02-1433-CO
v. JAMES BARTLETT	,)))	ORDER	01 1100 00
	Defendant.)))		

Magistrate Judge John P. Cooney filed Findings and Recommendation on August 22, 2005, in the above entitled case. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion of the magistrate judge's report. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, Inc.,

656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

Plaintiff has timely filed objections. I have, therefore, given de novo review of Magistrate Judge Cooney's rulings.

I find no error. Accordingly, I ADOPT Magistrate Judge Cooney's Findings and Recommendation filed August 22, 2005, in its entirety. The amended petition for writ of habeas corpus (#38) is denied and this case is dismissed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 4th day of November,

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE